

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/765,972	Applicant(s) HUBER ET AL.	
	Examiner Stefan Staicovici	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

(2) Mr. Stephen Catlin.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 2 May 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici proposed in claims 1 and 37, line 5, after "part", the first occurrence of "at" to be replaced with --on-- in order to provide clear and supported language to define the claims over the art of record. Mr. Stephen Catlin agreed to the proposed amendment.;